

Consultation Response

IGT112V: Refinements to the RPC Template

Responses invited by: 06 Dec 2018

Respondent Details

Name: Kirsty Dudley

Organisation: E.ON

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support all suggested refinements within IGT112V as it will deliver consistent formatting across IGTs which doesn't currently exist for all data items within the invoice supporting data.

We appreciate that some IGTs will be impacted more than others but Shipper processing shouldn't need to have separate processing activities for the RPC backing data, it should instead be in a format which can be processed the same regardless of which IGT it is issued from.

We therefore recommend support and implementation of these changes.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

We do not believe that there are any consumer or competition implications and recommend that self-governance procedures apply, we do not believe Authority approval is required for this modification.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

Nothing additional.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

The implementation of this modification would have a positive impact because it will allow Shippers to have a single invoice process implemented, without the need for multiple manual workarounds to load and validate the data which the IGTs have issued to support their invoicing.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

There will be system and manual process changes which will have some costs to develop and implement but they are not anticipated to be greater than small to medium scale, we were unable to conduct a more detailed assessment so can only provide a ROM at this stage.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

We support a 6-month implementation period and look for this to be delivered in June 2019.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

We have no comments on the legal text or ancillary document. We support all suggested changes.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Nothing additional to add.

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com